

**To:** OBrien, Wendy[OBrien.Wendy@epa.gov]; Keteles, Kristen[Keteles.Kristen@epa.gov]; Wall, Dan[wall.dan@epa.gov]; McKean, Deborah[mckean.deborah@epa.gov]; Graham, Richard[Graham.Richardv@epa.gov]; Christenson, Dave[Christenson.Dave@epa.gov]; Pierce, Maggie[Pierce.Maggie@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Miller, Johanna[Miller.Johanna@epa.gov]; Card, Joan[Card.Joan@epa.gov]; Hestmark, Martin[Hestmark.Martin@epa.gov]; Ostrander, David[Ostrander.David@epa.gov]  
**From:** McComb, Martin  
**Sent:** Wed 8/12/2015 10:37:06 AM  
**Subject:** Public Data Release Requirements

Good morning Environmental Unit,

I realize that you all are hammered but I cannot proceed until the EU answers the following questions in order of importance:

- 1) when will we receive the background characterization data that Jan was working on?
- 2) HQs will definitely want us to summarize our message using their red, yellow, green approach. Johanna and I are proposing to color code segments of the river. We propose red will be run for the hills, yellow will be the river is clear for some but not all exposures and green is all clear. We are tracking down the segments associated with the State's use-based water quality standards. Here is my question: can you summarize the exposures that you are looking at in a list?
- 3) what exactly do you want your trend graphs for each monitoring station to look like? Draw it on a piece of paper and send it to me.
- 4) did you find any issues with the data tables that we sent to you last night?
- 5) what do you want to do with the total metals data that failed validation last night? Throw them out or still use them? I will need written documentation of your decision. I will forward you what the field crew sent me last night.

Thanks, M